Date:	October 9, 2007				
Location:	SHPD Office, Kapolei				
Attendees:	Name	Company	Other Information		
	Lauren Morawski	SHPD	Oʻahu Archaeologist		
	Teresa Davan	SHPD	Oʻahu Assistant Archaeologist		
	Bryan Flower	SHPD	Architectural Historian		
	Susan Tasaki	SHPD	Historic Architect		
	James Hayes	PB	Transit EIS team		
	Lawrence Spurgeon	PB	Transit EIS team	Transit EIS team	
	Lani Lapilio	Kuʻiwalu	Transit cultural s	Transit cultural specialist	
	Faith Miyamoto	CCH-DTS	Transit managem	Transit management	
	Susan Robbins	InfraConsult	Transit management		
Meeting Minutes Distribution:	Name	Company	Date	Via	
	Lauren Morawski	SHPD	Oct. 11, 2007	Email	
	Bryan Flower	SHPD	Oct. 11, 2007	Email	
	Lani Lapilio	Kuʻiwalu	Oct. 11, 2007	Email	
	Matt McDermott	CSH	Oct. 11, 2007	Email	
	Faith Miyamoto	CCH-DTS	Oct. 11, 2007	Email	
	Susan Robbins	InfraConsult	Oct. 11, 2007	Email	
Modifications:	None				
Re-Distribute:	Name	Company	Date	Via	
	None				

## **Discussion:**

Following introductions, the transit team described the planned transit project to SHPD personnel. The transit project will do both a state and federal EIS for the project with three build alternatives. The transit team handed out copies of scoping input received that relates to historic resources and figures illustrating the project alignment. Reviewed project schedule, alignment, alternatives, and technologies. Discusse that the extensions beyond the first project will not be covered at the same level of detail as the first project.

Discussed that we plan to enter into Section 106 consultation and this meeting was to set the stage for official Section 106 consultation. The transit team is working on establishing an area of potential effect (APE) and plans to use 1968 as the horizon year for the evaluation of

historic structures. Following some additional coordination, the transit team will submit a letter to formally kickoff the Section 106 process.

The general approach being considered for archaeological resources is to defer inventory survey until design is close to final so that the placement of columns is well established and unlikely to change unless archaeological finds are made. The inventory survey work would be done at least a year prior to construction so that redesign could occur if resources are identified. The transit project would like to establish a MOA setting out protocol for surveys, reviews/ consultations, mitigation, and other matters related to archaeology. The MOA could be finalized during the final EIS stage (winter 2008/09). Field work for the first segment (construction to start late 2009 between UH West Oʻahu and LCC) could be done prior to the MOA but areas beyond that first segment would be deferred and managed under the MOA.

Lauren M. indicated that the most sensitive area for archaeological resources (burials) is Waikīkī. Transit team agreed, but because Waikīkī is an extension not in the first project, it will be treated more programmatically and under the MOA. The transit team also indicated it is likely that by the time funding is identified for the Waikīkī extension we would need to do a supplemental EIS that would reopen the Section 106 consultation process.

Lauren M. also suggested using areas that have been disturbed previously if possible (areas of abandoned utilities for example). Transit team agreed that would be our preference as well but we need to avoid active utilities.

Lauren M. suggested that re-internment sites be identified prior to getting too far along. The transit team indicated we have not established any yet and need to coordinate with the Oʻahu Island Burial Council (OIBC) and others to research and identify re-internment sites. Lani L. indicates she will be working with cultural descendents along with Matt M. on behalf of the transit team and will work to find ways to identify re-internment sites.

Discussed that station design is flexible to a degree. Most stations may have a standard look, but in sensitive areas individual designs are possible.

Discussed SHPD staffing: Bryan F. indicated SHPD staff is near full strength now. The SHPD GIS is being worked on apparently but was not up and running yet.

Bryan F. and Lauren M. thought there is no Act 50 review within SHPD, but Lani L. should check with Auntie Kaleo regarding that. Auntie Kaleo Paik also has a list of 9 new groups to contact for cultural coordination. SHPD personnel also indicated the transit team should coordinate with the Advisory Council early in the process too. We indicate we have made first contact with the council already.

SHPD contacts for Section 106 are generally as follows: Susan T. for transportation projects; Bryan F. for military projects.

END.